



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D C 20463

**CERTIFIED MAIL**  
**VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL**

**APR 16 2007**

Lisa Pierce

Elgin, IL 60123

RE: MUR 5722

Dear Ms. Pierce:

On February 21, 2007, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated March 27, 2006, and found no reason to believe that Chris Lauzen violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433 and 434. In addition, in an exercise of prosecutorial discretion, the Commission dismissed the allegations that Mr. Lauzen and Friends for Lauzen and Lee Holmes, in his official capacity as Treasurer, violated 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a) and 100.131(a), and admonished these respondents. *See Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files*, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). A copy of the Factual and Legal Analysis explaining the Commission's decision is enclosed for your information.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal in this matter. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Thomasenia P. Duncan  
Acting General Counsel

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Rhonda J. Vosdingh".

BY: Rhonda J. Vosdingh  
Associate General Counsel  
for Enforcement

Enclosure  
Factual and Legal Analysis

27044162008